

the interactive program guide data display to vary as a function of a weight of perceived partial transparency. --

-- ~~15~~ ¹⁴ The method of claim ~~15~~, further comprising enabling the weight of perceived partial transparency to be controlled by the television viewer. --

-- ~~16~~ ¹⁴ The method of claim ~~15~~, further comprising indicating the weight of perceived partial transparency on the viewer's display screen. --

-- ~~17~~ ¹⁴ The method of claim ~~15~~, further comprising increasing the weight of perceived partial transparency so that the perceived partial transparency of the portion of the interactive program guide data display decreases. --

-- ~~18~~ ¹⁴ The method of claim ~~15~~, further comprising decreasing the weight of perceived partial transparency so that the perceived partial transparency of the portion of the interactive program guide data display increases. --

-- ~~19~~ ¹⁴ The method of claim ~~15~~, further comprising setting the weight of perceived partial transparency at an activation of a display of the interactive program guide data display identically to the weight of perceived partial transparency at a deactivation of a previous display of the interactive program guide data display. --

-- ~~20~~ ¹³ The method of claim ~~14~~, wherein the interactive program guide data displayed corresponds to a plurality of television channels. --

-- ~~21~~ ¹³ The method of claim ~~14~~, wherein the interactive program guide data displayed corresponds to a plurality of times. --

B1
Cond.

-- ~~22~~ ¹³ The method of claim ~~14~~, wherein the interactive program guide data displayed corresponds to a plurality of television channels and a plurality of times. --

-- ~~23~~ ²² The method of claim ~~23~~, further comprising displaying the interactive program guide data in a grid format. --

-- ~~24~~ ¹³ The method of claim ~~14~~, further comprising displaying the interactive program guide data as a scrolling program guide. --

REMARKS

Summary Of Office Action

Claims 2-13 were pending in this application.

The Examiner rejected claims 2-13 under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,828,420.

The Examiner indicated, however, that claims 2-13 appear allowable over the prior art.

Summary Of Applicants' Reply

Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claims 2-13 appear to be allowable over the prior art.

Applicants have added new claims 14-25 in order to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. Applicants have filed concurrently with this Reply a Terminal Disclaimer. Applicants respectfully submit that this application, as amended, is in condition for allowance.